1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA NORA PULIDO, 10 11 Plaintiff, **CIV S-05-0678 FCD JFM PS** 12 v. 13 UNITRIN, INC., ET AL., 14 Defendants. FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS 15 By order filed September 19, 2005, this court directed plaintiff to file, within 16 17 thirty days, proof of service indicating service of process in compliance with Federal Rule of 18 Civil Procedure 4(h) and service of a copy of the September 19, 2005 order on defendants. 19 Plaintiff was cautioned that failure to effect service and file the requisite return of service with 20 the court within the time specified would result in a recommendation that this action be 21 dismissed. Thirty days have now passed, and plaintiff has not filed an opposition or otherwise 22 responded to the court's order. 23 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed 24 without prejudice. See Local Rule 11-110. 25 These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States 26 District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within

1	ten days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
2	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
3	Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the
4	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
5	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
6	DATED: November 2, 2005.
7	10 7 111 01
8	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9	
10	/001; pulido.ftc
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	